

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/686,450	10/15/2003	William M. Bishop	717664.11	6862	
27128 7	7590 06/02/2004	06/02/2004 EXAMINER		INER	
BLACKWELL SANDERS PEPER MARTIN LLP			DRAKE, N	DRAKE, MALIK N	
720 OLIVE ST	TREET				
SUITE 2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST. LOUIS, MO 63101			3744		
			DATE MAII ED: 06/02/2007	4	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 / /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/686,450	BISHOP ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
- 3	Malik N. Drake	3744
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated that the period for reply will, by stated that the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated that the period for reply will, by stated the peri	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	October 2003	
	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/15/03.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date vformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/686,450

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bishop et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,511,905), hereinafter Bishop.

The invention of Bishop discloses a liquefied natural gas (LNG) terminal comprising a mooring/docking facility (see figure 1) for at least one LNG ship (14); a first stage pumping system (which transfers LNG from the ship to a second stage; see column 4, lines 64-67); a second stage pumping system (19); a conventional vaporizing system (30); and a salt cavern (62).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop.

The disclosure of Bishop has been previously discussed. Bishop lacks specific disclosure of the various types of vaporizers claimed by Applicant.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the "conventional" vaporizing system comprise any type of vaporizer (including those claimed by Applicant) because Applicant has not disclosed that any of the claimed vaporizers provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with any type of known vaporizer because any vaporizer would perform the function of transforming LNG into its gaseous form.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Malik Drake at telephone number (703) 305-0249 and/or fax number (703) 872-9306. The Examiner's work schedule is 9:30am – 8:00pm, Monday through Thursday.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700